UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOC	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,924	06/13/2005	Florence Tournilhac	272535US0	PCT	9513
22850 ORI ON SPIV		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SU	SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNI	Γ	PAPER NUMBER
			1616		
	•	·	NOTIFICATION	DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/31/200	08	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		3,924	TOURNILHAC ET AL. Art Unit	
		ner		
	Danielle	e Sullivan	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this comp	nunication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the proviafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	E MAILING DATE OF sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. Im statutory period will apply an reply will, by statute, cause the inths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the present the condiction is in condiction. 	2b) ☐ This action is tion for allowance exce	ept for formal matters		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 56 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected t 8) Claim(s) 1-55 and 57 are subjected. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to b 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	re withdrawn from con- o. t to restriction and/or e y the Examiner. are: a) ☐ accepted or objection to the drawing(s	election requirement. b) objected to by s) be held in abeyance	the Examiner. . See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner.	Note the attached O	Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some co None co 1 Certified copies of the prior 2 Certified copies of the prior 3 Copies of the certified copies of the Interrest See the attached detailed Office a	of: prity documents have b prity documents have b pries of the priority docu pational Bureau (PCT F	peen received. Deen received in App Deents have been rec Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Nationa	ıl Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application	

10/538,924 Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A volatile oil from claims 6-8, eg. silicon polyamide.

The species are as follows:

A structuring polymer selected from one of the formulas (I) thru (IV), (VII), (VIII), the formula of claim 22, or (XIII), eg. phenylated silicone.

The species are as follows:

The compound capable of reducing enthalpy octyldodecanol

The claims encompass hundreds of different compounds which are contained in many different compositions. The compounds vary distinctly in their structures and functions. Thus, an individual search is required of each individual compound. Therefore, as part of electing one of the groups as the elected invention, Applicant is also required to elect a specific compound, to which the elected invention will be examined on the merits as drawn to (if the elected compound cannot be found the search will be opened to a reasonable core); as well as identifying those claims to which the elected compound is drawn. This requirement is <u>not</u> to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

Art Unit: 1616

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Application/Control Number:

10/538,924 Art Unit: 1616

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/538,924 Art Unit: 1616

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danielle Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 270-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Thur EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/538,924 Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Danielle Sullivan Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616 SHELLEY A. DÖDSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Johann R. Richter Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600